

## Assessment of Applications - 2021 Guide

The assessment of submissions is based on a total of 100 points as follows.

<b>Research team and organization/ Institution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Research team (experience, capacity to support research proposal, role clarity)</li> <li>Alignment with CFDHRE</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5</li> <li>5</li> </ul>	10%
<b>Research proposal</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Alignment with CDHA Research Agenda</li> <li>Research problem and justification</li> <li>Objectives (clear, appropriate, realistic and measurable)</li> <li>Significance and impact</li> <li>Literature review</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5</li> <li>5</li> <li>5</li> <li>5</li> <li>5</li> </ul>	25%
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Overall design and methodology</li> <li>Data collection</li> <li>Data analysis</li> <li>Study protocol</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>15</li> <li>5</li> <li>5</li> <li>10</li> </ul>	35%
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Work plan (realistic, feasibility)</li> <li>Knowledge translation and dissemination plan (comprehensive, realistic)</li> <li>Budget (detail, realistic, relevant, appropriate)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5</li> <li>5</li> <li>10</li> </ul>	20%
<b>Overall impression</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Originality, potential and relevance</li> <li>Clear, comprehensive</li> <li>Realistic</li> <li>Sound rationale</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10</li> </ul>	10%

Results	Assessment	Input
90-100	Outstanding	Recommended for funding
80-89	Excellent	
70-79	Very good	
60-69	Acceptable, but low priority	Recommended for funding, if appropriate revisions are made for reconsideration.
55-59	Needs revisions	Not fundable
50-54	Needs major revisions	
0-49	Seriously flawed	